

Temporary Campsite DC/26/1320/R77

WPC remain against to the application **DC/26/0780/P4BC** and therefore object to this application under S77 of The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 due to:

Failure to Provide Sanitary Facilities

The application identifies the *location* of a “shower and basin area” and “self-contained toilets” but provides **no information whatsoever** on the *number, capacity, specification, or environmental suitability* of these facilities. Without this detail, the Local Planning Authority cannot reasonably conclude that the site will be served by adequate sanitary provision for a minimum of 30 pitches (i.e., at least 60 occupants at peak).

In the absence of evidence, the proposal fails to demonstrate that foul water, grey water, and general sanitation can be managed safely or in a manner compatible with the sensitive environmental designations surrounding the site. This omission is a fundamental deficiency in an application of this nature.

Refuse Disposal

The application shows the placement of “Commercial Waste Disposal Bins” but again provides **no detail** on the number or size of bins, the frequency of collection, the method by which commercial waste vehicles would access the site, how waste will be contained to avoid odour, vermin, or wind-blown litter.

Given the scale of occupation implied by 30 pitches, the absence of a refuse management plan means the applicant has failed to demonstrate that adequate waste disposal can be achieved.

Noise and Light Spill – Impact on Residential Amenity and Ecologically Sensitive Areas

The site also lies immediately adjacent to internationally designated habitats (SAC, SPA, Ramsar). These areas are protected for the wildlife they support, including species highly sensitive to disturbance, light, and noise. Introducing a minimum of 60 additional people into what is currently a quiet, dark wildlife corridor between the existing caravan site and residential properties will inevitably increase noise levels, artificial light spill, waste generation, general human disturbance.

The applicant has provided no assessment of these impacts and no evidence that the proposal will avoid adverse effects on the integrity of the surrounding habitats.

The Council therefore considers that the application fails to demonstrate compliance with **Section 77 of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017**, which requires competent authorities to ensure that plans or projects will not adversely affect the integrity of protected sites.